



APPLICATION NO.

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

FILING DATE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ON BOX 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

	www.uspto.gov		
FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
Erling H. Wold	AMC-00-003	6504	

 09/706,227
 11/03/2000
 Erling H. Wold
 AMC-00-003
 6504

 7590
 11/01/2005
 EXAMINER

 Timothy A Brisson
 OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N

 Sierra Patent Group LTD

 PO BOX 6149
 ART UNIT
 PAPER NUMBER

 Stateline, NV 89448
 2655

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	·	09/706,227	WOLD ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Michael N. Opsasnick	2655		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	·	h the correspondence address		
Period fo					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become AB/	pply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	12 August 2005.			
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for alle	owance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1-4,7-14,17-24,26-29,35-38 and	41-44 is/are pending in the app	olication.		
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-14,17-24,26-29,35-38 and 41-44</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Example 1.	miner.			
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.		
<b>Priority</b> (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage		
`	See the attached detailed Office action to a	and of the certified copies flot	DANIEL ABEBE		
Attachmen		" <b>–</b>	PRIMARY EXAMINER		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date		
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI er No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·, <u> </u>	<del>-</del>		

Art Unit: 2655

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4,7-14,17-24,26-29,35-38,41-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schulze (4918730) in view of Blum et al (5918223).

As per claims 1,11,21,35 <u>Schulze (4918730)</u> teaches a method (col. 9 lines 45-55), apparatus (figs. 1-4), and storage medium (col. 4 lines 15-27 -- RAM for storing processing results, and other storage mediums) for creating a signature of sampled work (examiner notes that the claim scope of 'sampled work' is an audio signal, applicant's specification, page 1, and page 3 line 20 - page 4 line 2) in real time comprising receiving a sampled work (as digitizing the audio signal -- col. 4 lines 10-15, 25-34)

"segmenting said sampled work......segments....hop sizes" as storing time segments of the envelope signal (abstract), wherein the segment is preferably 1.7 seconds and the envelope size has a predetermined range (hop, size, col. 2 lines 42-47)

Art Unit: 2655

"creating a plurality of signatures.....segments.....includes calculations of a plurality of acoustic features.....coefficients" as generating envelop signatures (col. 1 lines 48-55; and a further correlation function → col. 9 lines 28-45)

"storing said sampled work signature" as storing the envelope signature (abstract)

Schulze (4918730) does not explicitly teach the use of a reference database storing representative signatures for each of a plurality of known works (Schulze (4918730) teaches storing the current envelope signature – abstract), however, Blum et al (5918223) teaches the use of such database to store signatures (Blum et al (5918223), abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of audio signature comparison to expand the memory structure of Schulze (4918730) into an accessible database because it would advantageously allow for the storage of multiple audio signatures, therefore improving upon the number of audio signatures that can be recognized (Blum et al (5918223), col. 1 lines 44-52).

As per claims 2,22,36, Schulze (4918730) teaches:

"includes the act of .....segment size and hop size" as providing multiple envelope signatures (abstract)

As per claims 3,12,23,37, <u>Schulze (4918730)</u> teaches said hop size of work signature is less than reference signatures (col. 2 lines 42-47)

Art Unit: 2655

As per claims 4,13,24,38, <u>Schulze (4918730)</u> teaches calculating envelop features for each segment (col. 7 lines 50-65)

As per claims 7,17,26,41, <u>Schulze (4918730)</u> teaches plurality of segments and an identification portion (abstract)

As per claims 8,10,18,27,42, <u>Schulze (4918730)</u> teaches a segment size of 1.7 seconds (col. 1 lines 52-58)

As per claims 9,19,28,43, <u>Schulze (4918730)</u> teaches the hop size to be less than 50% of the segment size (<u>Schulze (4918730)</u> teaches a range of 2 Hz to 50 Hz, which is less than 1/1.7 seconds; col. 2 lines 1-2)

As per claims 10,20,29,44, <u>Schulze (4918730)</u> teaches a hop size of around .1 seconds (a .1 second hop size corresponds to 10 Hz, which falls in the range of 2-50 Hz, as taught <u>Schulze (4918730)</u>, col. 2 lines 1-2).

As per claim 11, <u>Schulze (4918730)</u> also teaches creating multiple reference signatures (as time segments) and comparing a sample of the reference signatures for a match (abstract).

Art Unit: 2655

3. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Schulze (4918730) in view of Blum et al (5918223) in further view of Foote (6542869).

As per claim 14, the combination of Schulze (4918730) in view of Blum et al (5918223) does not explicitly teach calculating a Euclidean distance between vectors and comparing the result to a threshold, however, Foote (6542869) teaches the calculation of the Euclidean distance (col. 4 lines 55-67; col. 4 lines 45-51), to be used in a vector comparison against a threshold to determine segmentation (col. 8 lines 50-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of vector measuring to modify the teachings of the combination of Schulze (4918730) in view of Blum et al (5918223) with using Euclidean distance measuring between two vectors versus a threshold of an audio signal because it would advantageously mark areas of significant change in the audio signal (Foote (6542869), col. 8 lines 43-49).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/16/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As per applicant's arguments that Schulze is using one acoustical feature for comparison, examiner argues that the first acoustical feature is the envelope feature (as noted above), and the second feature being the referred to correlation function (as noted above as well). Examiner points to the correlation function related to the periodicity of the signal (Schulze, col. 8 lines 14-17), which is different from the argued power density (on pp 11 of applicants response). Examiner also notes the affidavit from Dr. Erling Wold; examiner does not disagree with the

Art Unit: 2655

statement from Dr. Erling Wold, however, examiner notes that the scope of the current claim language allows for statistically dependent acoustic features, which includes the envelope/correlation functions as taught by Schulze.

As per applicant's arguments with respect to the combination of the Schulze reference with Foote, examiner argues that In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Foote provides the motivation combine, i.e., it would advantageously mark areas of significant change in the audio signal (Foote (6542869), col. 8 lines 43-49), and therefore improve the accuracy of recognizing the audio signature.

#### Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/706,227

Art Unit: 2655

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 5.

examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (571)272-7623, who is

available Tuesday-Thursday, 9am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Wayne Young, can be reached at (571)272-7582. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

10/29/05

Wars f

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished